LATERAL DISTRIBUTION OF COSMIC

RAY MUONS UNDERGROUND:

Results from the CosmoALEPH Experiment

Rodica Tcaciuc



Schule für Astroteilchenphysik 2007, Bärnfels 6 October 2007

The Goal

To determine the chemical composition of primary cosmic rays from a comparison of the measured decoherence distribution with the distributions predicted by various MC models

To analyse the muon multiplicity and transverse momentum distributions in order to study the primary chemical composition and specific characteristics of the extensive air shower development

CosmoALEPH Location: underground at 125 m (75 GeV cutoff for vertical incidence)







The ALEPH Detector



- ♦ Superconducting Magnet (1.5 T)
- ♦ TPC (Time Projection Chamber) Spatial resolution ~ 160 μm Momentum resolution $\Delta p/p \approx 2.5\%$ at 50 GeV/c $\approx 60\%$ at 1.5 TeV/c Detectable momenta ≤ 3 TeV/c Angular resolution < 2 mrad</p>
- HCAL (Hadron Calorimeter)

Trigger: At least 8 double planes in one HCAL supermodule and 8 double planes in any of the three oposite supermodules fired simultaneously

Definition of the Decoherence Distribution

$$Rate \ (m^{-4} \ day^{-1}) = \frac{N_{coin}}{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j \ a_i a_j \ S_i S_j \ \rho_{i,j} \ \epsilon_{ov_i} \epsilon_{ov_j} \ T}$$

 N_{coin} is the background-subtracted coincidence rate

- $\epsilon_{i,j}$ are the efficiencies of stations
- $a_{i,j}$ correction factors for geometrical acceptances
- $\rho_{i,j}$ parameter responsible for the stack orientation
- $\epsilon_{ov_{i,j}}$ correction factors for the difference in the thickness of the overburden on top of each detector
- $S_{i,j}$ the areas of detectors in m²
- T is the total effective up-time of stations in days









Display of an event with two muon tracks fully contained in each half of the fiducial volume of the TPC along the z-direction

CosmoALEPH data for years 1995 - 2000

about 10⁹ events collected with all detector stations (1995 - 2000)
about 10⁶ recorded events in the TPC from dedicated runs (1999)



Multiplicity and Transverse Momentum: dedicated runs 1999

- Momentum P > 5.0 GeV/c and momentum resolution $\frac{dP}{P}$ < 1.0
- \clubsuit The muon track crosses the xz plane of the TPC
- \clubsuit The length of the full muon track is larger than 1.6 m



CORSIKA SIMULATIONS

- Models: QGSJET, VENUS, SIBYLL and NEXUS
- About 10^8 air showers of H, He and Fe primaries were generated
- Primary zenith angle θ range from 0° to 89°
- ✤ Primary energy in the range from 170 GeV to 10 PeV
- ✤ Two mass compositon models: Constant mass composition (CMC) with the same spectral slope $\gamma = 2.7$ for all primary elements and the Maryland composition model (MCM) with varying spectral index and energy cutoff E_c (GeV)

Composition model	Elements	γ	E_c (GeV)	$\gamma (E > E_c)$
МСМ	proton	2.75	$3.0\cdot10^{5}$	3.35
	helium	2.77	$6.0\cdot10^{5}$	3.37
	iron	2.50	$8.4\cdot10^{6}$	3.10

• Energy cut-off: $E_{\mu} = 0.55 \cdot (e^{\frac{0.4 \cdot 0.32}{\cos \theta}} - 1)$ [TeV]

MC Coincidence Rates

- ✤ For each shower with ≥ 2 muons underground at the CosmoALEPH experiment level the distance and time difference between all possible pairs of two muons (for all showers) were computed
- The obtained coincidence rates of muons for each simulated primary element for different hadronic models and composition approaches were best fit with the Nishimura-Kamata-Greisen (NKG) formula

$$f_{p,He,Fe} = a \cdot \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^b \left(1 + \frac{R}{R_0}\right)^c$$

A constrained fit of the CosmoALEPH data was performed with the sum of the obtained functions for p, He and Fe

$$f = par(1) \cdot f_p + par(2) \cdot f_{He} + par(3) \cdot f_{Fe}$$

The contributions par(1), par(2), par(3) of each element were determined

MC Decoherence Distributions for H, He, O and Fe fitted with NKG



The obtained NKG parameterizations are in good agreement with simulations.

Comparison of the CosmoALEPH Decoherence with MC Predictions



Blue line is the fit to the CosmoALEPH data with the sum of H, He and Fe parameterizations.

Rodica Tcaciuc, Siegen University

Comparison of the CosmoALEPH Decoherence with MC Predictions



Blue line is the fit to the CosmoALEPH data with the sum of H, He and Fe parameterizations.

Comparison of the CosmoALEPH Multiplicity with MC Predictions



p, He and Fe primaries simulated with QGSJET, VENUS, SIBYLL and NEXUS

-15-

Composition analysis

Comparison of the CosmoALEPH Transverse Momentum with MC



p, He and Fe primaries simulated with QGSJET, VENUS, SIBYLL and NEXUS

Rodica Tcaciuc, Siegen University

Schule für Astroteilchenphysik 2007, Bärnfels -

Conclusions

- The comparison of the measured CosmoALEPH decoherence distribution with the predictions from the CORSIKA models in the energy region 10² - 10⁷ GeV indicates a light dominated primary composition.
- Based on the predictions of the VENUS model and constant mass composition approach a primary composition of (77 ± 11) % protons and (23 ± 11) % iron nuclei for cosmic rays with a x² probability of about 84 % was determined.
- The absolute comparison between the measured multiplicity and transverse momentum distributions in the TPC and those predicted by different Monte Carlo models indicates also a dominant light composition.
- MC models are unable to reproduce events with high multiplicities.

The Southern Pierre Auger Observatory in Mendoza, Argentina

designed to study cosmic rays with energies greater than $10^{19}~{
m eV}$



The Surface Detector Array 1600 water-cherenkov tanks with 1.5 km spacing covering area of 3000 km²

Fluorescence Detectors
 4 Stations
 each 6 telescopes

Water Cherenkov Detector

- cylindrical polyethylene tank with 3.6 m diameter, 1.6 m height
- ✤ Tyvek liner filled with 12 m³ purified water
- ✤ 3 PMTs in the tank

Auger Hybrid Detector	Communications antenna	ach detector station is a plastic tank ur feet high and 12 feet in diameter, led with 3,000 gallons (11,000 liters) de-ionized water, using solar-powered ill-phone technology to send data.
	Solar panel and electronics box	
	Plastic tank	
		White light diffusing liner
		— 11,000 liters of de-ionized water

Analysis of the twin tanks data

- ✤ 10 m spacing between twin tanks
- twin tanks are used to study the signal fluctuations
- twin tank pair: 139 Dia 186 Noche



Analysis of the twin tanks data

- twin tank pair: 139 Dia 186 Noche
- **\Rightarrow** good PMT: D/A = 32, RMS < 0.5 channels

